

REPRODUCTION SHEET

Brock Commons Tallwood House

An 18-storey hybrid mass timber student residence, University of British Columbia

Location	Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
Completed	August 2017
Use	Student residence (404 beds)
Gross floor area	15,120 m ²
Storeys	18
Owner / developer	University of British Columbia
Architect	Acton Ostry Architects Inc.
Structural engineer	Fast + Epp
LCA practitioner	Athena Sustainable Materials Institute (Matt Bowick, author)
LCA standard applied	EN 15978:2011, 100-year reference study period
Certification	LEED Gold
Wood supplier	Structurlam Products
Wood origin / forest type	British Columbia interior softwood, long-rotation managed forest

§ 1 – PUBLIC SOURCES

Disclosure source documents

- **Athena EBD (Bowick 2018)**

https://www.naturallywood.com/wp-content/uploads/Tallwood_House_Environmental_Declaration_20180608.pdf

- **Canadian Wood Council case study**

https://cwc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/CS-BrockCommon.Study_.8.pdf

§ 2 – DISCLOSED FIGURES

What was published

Item	Value	Unit
Timber volume (CLT + glulam + NLT)	2,233	m ³
Carbon stored in wood (biogenic)	1,753	tCO ₂ e
Substitution credit (avoided emissions)	679	tCO ₂ e
Total disclosed wood 'carbon benefit'	2,432	tCO ₂ e
Whole-building 100-yr GWP	29,900	tCO ₂ e

Whole-building per GFA	1,977 kg CO ₂ e/m ²
------------------------	---

§ 3 – BOUNDARY STATEMENT

What is excluded, in the disclosure's own words

On excluded module B1.

"B1: there is currently insufficient consensus in terms of methodology and data to practically quantify these effects for all products used in the building."

– Athena EBD, p. 13

On end-of-waste allocation.

"This assessment assumes that once the material is either [1] separated for recycling, reuse, or energy recovery purposes or [2] disposed of (i.e. either via landfill or incineration), it has reached its end-of-waste state."

– Athena EBD, p. 14

§ 4 – DRL RECOMPUTATION INPUTS

Every input used in the corrected calculation

Input	Value	Source / band
Timber volume	2,233 m ³	Building's own disclosure
A1–A3 manufacturing factor	0.18 tCO ₂ e/m ³	Athena/FPInnovations mid-range
Biogenic storage factor	0.917 tCO ₂ e/m ³	EN 15978 convention
SOC efflux factor	0.12 tCO ₂ e/m ³	Band: mid
EOL methane fraction	12% biogenic C as CH ₄	Band: ximenes
Foregone seq. factor	0.95 tCO ₂ e/m ³	Band: yr100

§ 5 – ARITHMETIC, LINE BY LINE

Every step of the recomputation, showing the calculation

Line	Calculation	Result
A1–A3	$2,233 \times 0.18$	+402 tCO ₂ e
Biogenic storage (industry credit)	$2,233 \times 0.917$	-1,753 tCO ₂ e
Disclosed net (A1–A3 – biogenic)	sum of lines above	-2,030 tCO₂e
+ SOC efflux	$2,233 \times 0.12$	+268 tCO ₂ e
+ EOL methane	$1,753 \times 0.12 \times (16/44) \times 27.9$	+2,134 tCO ₂ e
+ Foregone sequestration	$2,233 \times 0.95$	+2,121 tCO ₂ e

Full-boundary total	A1-A3 + SOC + EOL + Foregone	+4,925 tCO ₂ e
Delta vs. disclosed	Full-boundary - disclosed_net	+6,956 tCO ₂ e

§ 6 – EMISSION FACTOR LIBRARY

Every factor used here, with its source

The factors below are the same library used by the live mini-calculator on the building's web page. Default factor values are mid-range and sourced; low and high alternatives are also available. Anyone challenging this recomputation can do so by naming a specific factor and substituting an alternative value with a citation; the math will recompute.

Factor	Value	Source
Biogenic storage	0.917 tCO ₂ e per m ³	EN 15978 convention: ~0.25 tC/m ³ softwood timber x 44/12 to CO ₂ e.
A1-A3 manufacturing	0.13 to 0.25 tCO ₂ e per m ³	Athena Sustainable Materials Institute EPDs; FPInnovations data.
SOC efflux – Low	0.06 tCO ₂ e per m ³	Achat et al. 2015, Forest Ecology and Management.
SOC efflux – Mid	0.12 tCO ₂ e per m ³	James & Harrison 2016, Forests (meta-analysis: 11% SOC loss).
SOC efflux – High	0.20 tCO ₂ e per m ³	Mayer et al. 2020, Forest Ecology and Management.
EOL methane – IPCC default	3% of biogenic C as CH ₄	EN 15978 default; very low landfill diversion.
EOL methane – Ximenes	12% of biogenic C as CH ₄	Ximenes et al. 2008, Carbon Balance and Management.
EOL methane – Wang	18% of biogenic C as CH ₄	Wang et al. 2013, Waste Management (long-term decay).
CH ₄ conversion to CO ₂ e	x 16/44 (mass) x 27.9 (GWP100)	IPCC AR6 WG1 Ch.7 Table 7.15.
Foregone seq – 50 yr	0.45 tCO ₂ e per m ³	Stephenson 2014; reduced for beetle-kill salvage.
Foregone seq – 100 yr	0.95 tCO ₂ e per m ³	Stephenson 2014, Nature; Luyssaert 2008.
Foregone seq – 200 yr	2.00 tCO ₂ e per m ³	Long-rotation full-cycle estimate.

§ 7 – METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

Calibrations and assumptions specific to this building

- Biogenic-storage line uses the EN 15978 convention factor of 0.917 tCO₂e per m³ of softwood timber, which corresponds to ~0.25 tC stored per m³ multiplied by 44/12 to convert C to CO₂e.
- End-of-life methane line uses 12% of biogenic carbon released as CH₄ in landfill (Ximenes 2008 measured value), multiplied by 16/44 to convert C mass to CH₄ mass, then by IPCC AR6 GWP100 of 27.9.
- Foregone-sequestration window is set to 100 years to match the EN 15978 reference study period of the source EBD. This is a methodological choice that can be challenged; the calculator allows 50-yr and 200-yr alternatives.
- SOC efflux factor of 0.12 tCO₂e per m³ harvested timber is mid-range from James & Harrison 2016 meta-analysis (11% average SOC loss in top 30 cm), normalised to typical BC interior plantation yield of ~250 m³/ha.

§ 8 – CITATIONS

Peer-reviewed and primary-source references

Bowick, M. (2018). *Brock Commons Tallwood House — An Environmental Building Declaration According to EN 15978*. Athena Sustainable Materials Institute.

EN 15978:2011. *Sustainability of construction works — Assessment of environmental performance of buildings — Calculation method*. European Committee for Standardization.

- Stephenson, N. L., et al. (2014). Rate of tree carbon accumulation increases continuously with tree size. *Nature*, 507(7490), 90–93.
- Luyssaert, S., et al. (2008). Old-growth forests as global carbon sinks. *Nature*, 455(7210), 213–215.
- James, J. & Harrison, R. (2016). The effect of harvest on forest soil carbon: a meta-analysis. *Forests*, 7(12), 308.
- Achat, D. L., et al. (2015). *Forest Ecology and Management*, 348, 124–141.
- Mayer, M., et al. (2020). Tamm Review: Influence of forest management activities on soil organic carbon stocks. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 466, 118127.
- Ximenes, F. A., et al. (2008). Greenhouse gas balance of native forests in NSW, Australia. *Carbon Balance and Management*, 3(1), 1–13.
- Wang, X., et al. (2013). Methane emissions from landfills. *Waste Management*.
- IPCC (2021). Sixth Assessment Report, WG1, Ch. 7, Table 7.15 — methane GWP■■■■ = 27.9.
- Searchinger, T. D., Peng, L., et al. (2023). Re-evaluating the climate effects of biofuels and bioenergy. *Nature*, 619, 64–73.
doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06187-1

§ 9 – AUDITOR'S NOTE

This Reproduction Sheet is audit working papers. It is intended to be checked, disputed, and corrected.

If any input on this page is wrong — the timber volume, the boundary statement, the emission factor band — please write. The feedback channel logs every submission with timestamp. A correction will be published on the building's page and a revised version of this sheet will be issued. The purpose of this document is not to be unchallengeable. It is to be challengeable line by line.

Auditor: Murphy O'Neal **Version:** 1.0 **Reference framework:** Divergent Resource Logic (DRL), full-boundary accounting framework.

Status: Pre-publication draft. To be reviewed by counsel before public release.